

WEIGHTED INEQUALITIES FOR NEGATIVE POWERS OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

B. BONGIOANNI, E. HARBOURE AND O. SALINAS

ABSTRACT. In this article we obtain boundedness of the operator $(-\Delta + V)^{-\alpha/2}$ from $L^{p,\infty}(w)$ into weighted bounded mean oscillation type spaces $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ under appropriate conditions on the weight w . We also show that these weighted spaces also have a point-wise description for $0 < \beta < 1$. Finally, we study the behaviour of the operator $(-\Delta + V)^{-\alpha/2}$ when acting on $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 3$,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$$

where $V \geq 0$ is a function satisfying, for some $q > \frac{d}{2}$, the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q dy \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The set of functions with the last property is usually denoted by RH_q .

It is well known that negative powers of the Schrödinger operator can be expressed in terms of the heat diffusion semigroup generated by \mathcal{L} as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha} f(x) = \mathcal{L}^{-\alpha/2} f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f(x) t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

For each $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ is an integral operator with kernel $k_t(x, y)$ having a better behaviour far away from the diagonal than the classical heat kernel. Some useful properties of k_t were obtained in [6], [3] and [4]. As a consequence $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha} f$ turns out to be finite a.e. even if f belongs to L^p with p greater than the critical index d/α . Particularly, in [1] the authors proved that \mathcal{I}_{α} maps $L^{d/\alpha}$ into an appropriate substitute of L^{∞} denoted by $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}$ which in fact is smaller than the classical BMO space of John-Nirenberg.

In this work we extend and improve their result by analysing the behaviour of \mathcal{I}_{α} on weighted weak L^p spaces with $p \geq d/\alpha$ for a suitable class of weights. In order to do that we introduce a family of spaces $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ that includes, as a particular case, the space $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}$. We point out that in the case of $w \equiv 1$ and $p = d/\alpha$, we obtain a better result than that in [1] since L^p is strictly contained in weak L^p .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B35, Secondary 35J10.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger Operator, BMO, fractional integration, weights.

This research is partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas and Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Argentina.

It is worth mentioning that for $w \equiv 1$ the spaces $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}$ are the duals of the H^p -spaces introduced in [2] and [4], as it can be easily checked from the atomic decomposition given there. For $\beta = 0$ such representation was already pointed out in [1].

We also study the behaviour of \mathcal{I}_{α} on $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ proving that, under appropriate conditions on the weight, they are transformed into $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta+\alpha}(w)$. In proving such result we give a point-wise characterization of our spaces $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ when $0 < \beta < 1$, which we believe to be of independent interest.

Finally, we remark that when the potential V belongs to $RH_{d/2}$, as it is the case of the Hermite differential operator, the classes of weights for which we prove our boundedness results coincide with those obtained in [5] for $V = 0$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the family of spaces $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ and we prove some basic properties. In particular the aforementioned point-wise description is given in Proposition 4. The remaining two sections contain the main results: Section 3 is devoted to the analysis of \mathcal{I}_{α} acting on $L^{p,\infty}(w)$ while Section 4 deals with the boundedness on $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$.

2. $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ SPACES

For a given potential $V \in RH_q$, with $q > \frac{d}{2}$, we introduce the function

$$\rho(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V \leq 1 \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Due to the above assumptions $\rho(x)$ is finite for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This auxiliary function plays an important role in the estimates of the operators and in the description of the spaces associated to \mathcal{L} (see [1], [3], [4], [7]).

The following propositions contain some properties of ρ that will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 1 (Lemma 1.4 in [7]). *There exist C and $k_0 \geq 1$ such that,*

$$(1) \quad C^{-1} \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{-k_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq C \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Throughout this work, we denote $w(E) = \int_E w$ for every measurable subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and $CB = B(x, Cr)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r > 0$ and $C > 0$.

Proposition 2 ([2]). *There exists a sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{R}^d , so that the family $B_k = B(x_k, \rho(x_k))$, $k \geq 1$, satisfies*

- (1) $\cup_k B_k = \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (2) There exists N such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{card}\{j : 4B_j \cap 4B_k \neq \emptyset\} \leq N$.

We denote by L_{loc}^1 the set of locally integrable functions of \mathbb{R}^d . For $\eta \geq 1$ and w a weight, i.e. $w \geq 0$ and $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^1$, we say that $w \in D_{\eta}$ if there exists a constant C such that

$$w(tB) \leq C t^{d\eta} w(B),$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 1$.

It is easy to see that a weight w belongs to $D = \cup_{\eta \geq 1} D_\eta$ if and only if it satisfies the doubling condition

$$w(2B) \leq Cw(B).$$

For $\beta \geq 0$ we define the space $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ as the set of functions f in L^1_{loc} satisfying for every ball $B = B(x, R)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $R > 0$,

$$(2) \quad \int_B |f - f_B| \leq Cw(B) |B|^{\beta/d}, \quad \text{with } f_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f,$$

and

$$(3) \quad \int_B |f| \leq Cw(B) |B|^{\beta/d}, \quad \text{if } R \geq \rho(x).$$

Let us note that if (3) is true for some ball B then (2) holds for the same ball, so we might ask to (2) only for balls with radii lower than $\rho(x)$.

The constants in (2) and (3) are independent of the choice of B but may depend on f . A norm in the space $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ can be given by the maximum of the two infima of the constants that satisfy (2) and (3) respectively.

The case $\beta = 0$ and $w \equiv 1$ was introduced in [1] as a natural substitute of L^∞ in the context of the semigroup generated by the operator \mathcal{L} . As in that case we can replace condition (3) by the following weaker condition (4) that only takes into account critical balls.

Proposition 3. *Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $w \in D_\eta$. If $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence as in Proposition 2, then a function f belongs to $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ if, and only if, f satisfies (2) for every ball, and*

$$(4) \quad \int_{B(x_k, \rho(x_k))} |f| \leq Cw(B(x_k, \rho(x_k))) |\rho(x_k)|^\beta, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let f satisfy (4), and let $B = B(x, R)$ be a ball with radius $R > \rho(x)$. From Proposition 2 the set

$$F = \{k : B \cap B_k \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k \in F} \int_{B_k} w \leq (N+1) \int_{\cup_{k \in F} B_k} w,$$

where N is the constant controlling the overlapping (see Proposition 2).

It is easy to see that for some constant C , $B_k \subset CB$ for every $k \in F$. In fact, if $z \in B_k \cap B$, from (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_k) &\leq C\rho(z) \left(1 + \frac{|x_k - z|}{\rho(x_k)}\right)^{k_0} \leq C2^{k_0} \rho(z) \\ &\leq C2^{k_0} \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x - z|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \leq C2^{k_0} \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{R}{\rho(x)}\right) \\ &\leq C2^{k_0+1} R, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |f| &\leq \sum_{k \in F} \int_{B \cap B_k} |f| \leq \sum_{k \in F} \int_{B_k} |f| \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in F} w(B_k) |B_k|^{\beta/d} \leq C |B|^{\beta/d} \sum_{k \in F} \int_{B_k} w \\ &\leq C |B|^{\beta/d} \int_{\cup_{k \in F} B_k} w \leq C |B|^{\beta/d} \int_{CB} w. \end{aligned}$$

Since we assumed that w is doubling the last expression is bounded up to a constant by $w(B) |B|^{\beta/d}$. \square

Corollary 1. *A function f belongs to $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ if, and only if, condition (2) is satisfied for every ball $B = B(x, R)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $R < \rho(x)$, and*

$$(6) \quad \int_{B(x, \rho(x))} |f| \leq C w(B(x, \rho(x))) |\rho(x)|^{\beta}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $\beta > 0$ and $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, we define

$$W_{\beta}(x, r) = \int_{B(x, r)} \frac{w(z)}{|z - x|^{d-\beta}} dz.$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$.

We introduce a kind of Lipschitz space $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ as the set of functions f such that

$$(7) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C [W_{\beta}(x, |x - y|) + W_{\beta}(y, |x - y|)]$$

and

$$(8) \quad |f(x)| \leq C W_{\beta}(x, \rho(x))$$

for almost all x and y in \mathbb{R}^d .

It is possible to define a norm in these spaces by taking the maximum of the two infima of the constants that satisfy equations (7) and (8) respectively.

Remark 1. For almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $W_{\beta}(x, r)$ is finite for all $r > 0$, it is always increasing as a function of r . Also, if w satisfies the doubling condition, then we have

$$(9) \quad W_{\beta}(x, 2r) \leq C W_{\beta}(x, r),$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, where the constant C does not depend on r or x .

Proposition 4. *If $0 < \beta < 1$ and w satisfies the doubling condition, then*

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w) = BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w),$$

and the norms are equivalent.

Proof. Let f be in $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ with $\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)} = 1$, x and y in \mathbb{R}^d . Since f satisfies (2), from [5] (Proposition 1.3) we obtain

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C [W_{\beta}(x, 2|x - y|) + W_{\beta}(y, 2|x - y|)]$$

for all x and y Lebesgue points of f . Hence, Remark 1 implies that f satisfies (7).

To verify (8), if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Lebesgue point of f , and $B = B(x, \rho(x))$, from (7) and condition (3), we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x) - f(y)| dy + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|B|} \left(\int_B W_\beta(x, |x-y|) dy + \int_B W_\beta(y, |x-y|) dy + w(B) |B|^{\frac{\beta}{d}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the last sum, by Remark 1, the first term is

$$\int_B W_\beta(x, |x-y|) dy \leq |B| W_\beta(x, \rho(x)).$$

For the second term of (10), if $y \in B$, we have $B(y, |x-y|) \subset B(x, 2\rho(x))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B W_\beta(y, |x-y|) dy &\leq \int_{B(x, 2\rho(x))} w(z) \left(\int_B \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d-\beta}} dy \right) dz \\ &\leq C |B|^{\beta/d} w(B) \leq C |B| W_\beta(x, \rho(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last term of (10) is bounded by

$$|B|^{\frac{\beta}{d}-1} w(B) \leq W_\beta(x, \rho(x)),$$

and we have shown that (8) is satisfied.

In order to prove the other inclusion, consider $\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)} = 1$. From [5] (Proposition 1.3) we have (7) implies (2). To see condition (3), let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $R \geq \rho(x)$. If $y \in B(x, R)$, from Proposition 1,

$$\rho(y) \leq C \rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \leq C \rho(x) \left(\frac{R}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \leq CR$$

and thus by (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x, R)} |f(y)| dy &\leq \int_{B(x, R)} \int_{B(y, \rho(y))} \frac{w(z)}{|z-y|^{d-\beta}} dz dy \\ &\leq \int_{B(x, CR)} w(z) \int_{B(x, R)} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d-\beta}} dy dz \\ &\leq C R^\beta w(B(x, CR)) \\ &\leq C |B(x, R)|^{\beta/d} w(B(x, R)), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that w is doubling. \square

Remark 2. Observe that in the last proof (see inequality (10)) we have shown that (6) and (7) with $|x-y| < \rho(x)$ implies (8), and thus conditions (6) and (7) imply that f belongs to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$.

3. \mathcal{I}_α ON $L^{p,\infty}(w)$ SPACES

We begin by stating a series of lemmas that will be useful in proving the main results. We omit the proofs though we provide references where they can be found.

For $L^{p,\infty}(w)$, $p > 1$, we mean the space of measurable functions f such that

$$(11) \quad [f]_{p,w} = \left(\sup_{t>0} t^p \left| \left\{ x : \frac{|f(x)|}{w(x)} > t \right\} \right| \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite. The quantity (11) is not a norm (triangular inequality fails) but it turns to be equivalent to a norm. Clearly, the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(w) = \{f : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f/w|^p < \infty\}$ are continuously embedded in $L^{p,\infty}(w)$.

As usual p' denotes the Hölder conjugate exponent of p .

Lemma 1 ([5]). *Let $p > 1$ and w a weight in $RH_{p'}$. If f is a locally integrable function and B is a ball in \mathbb{R}^d then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\int_B |f| \leq C w(B) |B|^{-\frac{1}{p}} [f]_{p,w}.$$

For $t > 0$ let k_t be the kernel of $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$. Then, the kernel of \mathcal{I}_α is given by the formula

$$(12) \quad K_\alpha(x, y) = \int_0^\infty k_t(x, y) t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Some estimates of k_t are presented below.

Lemma 2 ([6]). *Given $N > 0$, there exists a constant C such that for all x and y in \mathbb{R}^d ,*

$$k_t(x, y) \leq C t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N}.$$

As a consequence of the previous lemma we have

$$(13) \quad K_\alpha(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}}$$

for all x and y in \mathbb{R}^d .

Lemma 3 ([4] Proposition 4.11). *Given $N > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \min(1, 2 - \frac{d}{q})$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$|k_t(x, y) - k_t(x_0, y)| \leq C \left(\frac{|x-x_0|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^\delta t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{ct}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N},$$

for all x, y and x_0 in \mathbb{R}^d with $|x-x_0| < \sqrt{t}$.

A function ψ is rapidly decaying (see [3]) if for every $N > 0$ there exists a constant C_N such that

$$|\psi(x)| \leq C_N (1 + |x|)^{-N}.$$

If ψ is a real function on \mathbb{R}^d and $t > 0$, we define

$$\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

We will also need some estimates for the kernel

$$q_t(x, y) = k_t(x, y) - \tilde{k}_t(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$, where \tilde{k}_t is the kernel of the classical heat operator $e^{-t\Delta}$.

Lemma 4 ([3]). *There exists a rapidly decaying function $\psi \geq 0$ such that*

$$q_t(x, y) \leq C \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)}\right)^{2-\frac{d}{q}} \psi_t(x-y),$$

for all x, y in \mathbb{R}^d and $t > 0$.

Lemma 5 ([3]). *For every $0 < \delta < \min(1, 2 - \frac{d}{q})$ and C , there exists a rapidly decaying function ψ such that*

$$|q_t(x, y+h) - q_t(x, y)| \leq C' \left(\frac{|h|}{\rho(x)} \right)^\delta \psi_t(x-y)$$

for all x, y and in \mathbb{R}^d and $t > 0$, with $|h| < C\rho(y)$ and $|h| < \frac{|x-y|}{4}$.

In [1] the authors obtain boundedness of \mathcal{I}_α from $L^{d/\alpha}$ into $BMO_{\mathcal{L}} = BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. The next theorem presents an extension of this result to L^p spaces with p greater than d/α . Moreover, $L^{p,\infty}$ spaces are considered instead of L^p .

Theorem 1. *Let us assume that the potential $V \in RH_q$ with $q \geq d/2$ and set $\delta_0 = \min\{1, 2 - \frac{d}{q}\}$. Let $0 < \alpha < d$, $\frac{d}{\alpha} \leq p < \frac{d}{(\alpha-\delta_0)^+}$ and $w \in RH_{p'} \cap D_\eta$, where $1 \leq \eta < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{d} + \frac{\delta_0}{d} + \frac{1}{p}$, then the operator \mathcal{I}_α is bounded from $L^{p,\infty}(w)$ into $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha-d/p}(w)$.*

Proof. We need the following claim: if f is a locally integrable function and B a ball in \mathbb{R}^d , then

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B \mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f \chi_{2B}|) \leq C |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p}} [f]_{p,w}.$$

To get this estimate, from (13), we have

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B \mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f \chi_{2B}|) \leq C \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B \int_{2B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy dx.$$

Let x_0 be the center of B and r its radius. Applying Tonelli's Theorem, the last integral is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2B} |f(y)| \int_B \frac{dx}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} dy &\leq C r^\alpha \int_{2B} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C w(B) |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p}} [f]_{p,w}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 1, finishing the proof of (14).

In order to see that $\mathcal{I}_\alpha f$ is in $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha-d/p}(w)$, in view of Corollary 1, it is enough to check that there exists a constant C such that the two following conditions hold:

(i) For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\frac{1}{w(B(x_0, \rho(x_0)))} \int_{B(x_0, \rho(x_0))} |\mathcal{I}_\alpha f| \leq C |B(x_0, \rho(x_0))|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p}} [f]_{p,w}.$$

(ii) For every ball $B = B(x_0, r)$ with $r < \rho(x_0)$ and some constant c_B

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |\mathcal{I}_\alpha f(x) - c_B| dx \leq C |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p}} [f]_{p,w}.$$

We first prove (i). Let $B = B(x_0, R)$ with $R = \rho(x_0)$. Splitting $f = f_1 + f_2$, with $f_1 = f \chi_{2B}$, by the claim (14), we have

$$\frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |\mathcal{I}_\alpha f_1| \leq C |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p}} [f]_{p,w}.$$

To deal with f_2 , we split the integral representation of \mathcal{I}_α as follows. Let $x \in B$,

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{I}_\alpha f_2(x) = \int_0^{R^2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt + \int_{R^2}^\infty e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} dt.$$

For $x \in B$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B$, we have $|x_0 - y| \leq C|x - y|$, then for the first term of (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{R^2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt \right| &= \left| \int_0^{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} k_t(x, y) f(y) dy t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} dt \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} |f(y)| dy t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^{R^2} t^{\frac{-d+\alpha}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \left(\frac{t}{|x-y|^2} \right)^{M/2} |f(y)| dy dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^{R^2} t^{\frac{M-d+\alpha}{2}-1} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^M} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where M is a constant to be determined later and C depends on M .

Splitting the domain of the second integral into dyadic annuli $2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B$, and applying Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(2B)^c} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^M} dy &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^M} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R^M} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{kM}} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(y)| dy \\ (16) \quad &\leq C R^{-\frac{d}{p}-M} [f]_{p,w} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(2^{k+1}B) 2^{-k(\frac{d}{p}+M)} \\ &\leq C w(B) R^{-\frac{d}{p}-M} [f]_{p,w} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(\frac{d}{p}+M-d\eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $w \in D_\eta$.

The last series converges if $M > d\eta - \frac{d}{p}$. Therefore, for such M ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{R^2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt \right| &\leq C w(B) R^{-\frac{d}{p}-M} [f]_{p,w} \int_0^{R^2} t^{(M-d+\alpha)/2-1} dt \\ &= C w(B) |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-\frac{1}{p}-1} [f]_{p,w}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of (15), we use the extra decay of the kernel $k_t(x, y)$ given by Lemma 2. Thus, we can choose M as above and $N \geq M$ so that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{R^2}^{\infty} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt \right| &= \int_{R^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} k_t(x, y) |f(y)| dy t^{\alpha/2-1} dt \\ &\leq C \int_{R^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} t^{(\alpha-d-N)/2-1} \rho(x)^N e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} |f(y)| dy dt \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression is bounded by

$$C \rho(x)^N \int_{R^2}^{\infty} t^{(\alpha-d-N)/2-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \left(\frac{t}{|x-y|^2} \right)^{M/2} |f(y)| dy dt.$$

As $x \in B$, we have $\rho(x) \sim \rho(x_0) = R$. Then, the last expression is bounded by a constant times

$$R^N \int_{R^2}^{\infty} t^{(M+\alpha-d-N)/2-1} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^M} dy .$$

Since $M + \alpha - d - N < 0$, the integral in t converges. Then, splitting the second integral in the same way as before, the last term is bounded by

$$C w(B) R^{\alpha - \frac{d}{p} - d} [f]_{p,w} = C w(B) |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - 1} [f]_{p,w}$$

and we have proved (i).

Now we will see (ii). Let $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - x_0| < r\}$, with $r < \rho(x_0)$. We set $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f \chi_{2B}$ and

$$c_B = \int_{r^2}^{\infty} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x_0) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt .$$

By the claim (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f) - c_B| &\leq \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B \mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f_1|) + \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f_2) - c_B| \\ &\leq C |B|^{\alpha/d-1/p} [f]_{p,w} + \frac{1}{w(B)} \int_B |\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f_2) - c_B| . \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we will show that

$$(17) \quad |\mathcal{I}_\alpha f_2(x) - c_B| \leq C w(B) |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - 1} [f]_{p,w} .$$

Let x be in B and split $\mathcal{I}_\alpha f_2(x)$ as in (15). For the first term we can proceed as before to obtain that

$$\left| \int_0^{r^2} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt \right| \leq C w(B) |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - 1} [f]_{p,w} .$$

The remaining part, by the definition of c_B , is bounded by

$$\left| \int_{r^2}^{\infty} e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f_2(x) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt - c_B \right| \leq \int_{r^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} |k_t(x, y) - k_t(x_0, y)| |f(y)| dy t^{\alpha/2-1} dt$$

and by Lemma 3, for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ the last integral is majorised

$$C_\delta \int_{r^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \left(\frac{|x - x_0|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^\delta t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{Ct}} |f(y)| dy t^{\alpha/2-1} dt .$$

Since $|x_0 - x| < r$, applying Fubini's Theorem the last integral is bounded by

$$r^\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} |f(y)| \int_{r^2}^{\infty} t^{-(d-\alpha+\delta)/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{Ct}} \frac{dt}{t} dy .$$

Now, changing variables $s = \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}$ we obtain the bound

$$r^\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha+\delta}} dy \int_0^\infty s^{(d-\alpha+\delta)/2} e^{-s/C} \frac{ds}{s} .$$

Since the integral in s is finite, we only need to estimate the integral in y . We perform the same calculation as in (16) with $M = d - \alpha + \delta$. But now, to make the series convergent we need $\eta < 1 - \alpha/d + \delta/d + 1/p$ which holds true by our assumption on η , and taking δ close enough to δ_0 . Notice this is the only place

where we have used the condition on the size of η . In this way the above expression can be controlled by $w(B)r^{\alpha-\frac{d}{p}-d}[f]_{p,w}$ and so (17) is proved. \square

4. \mathcal{I}_α ON $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ SPACES

The definition of $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ only establishes a control for the averages over balls with radii greater than ρ at their centres (see (3)). However, for lower radii some kind of estimate can be proved.

Lemma 6. *Let $w \in D_\eta$ with $\eta \geq 1$ and $f \in BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$. Then, for every ball $B = B(x, r)$, we have*

$$\int_B |f| \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)} w(B) |B|^{\beta/d} \max \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{r} \right)^{d\eta-d+\beta} \right\},$$

if $\eta > 1$ or $\beta > 0$, and

$$\int_B |f| \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}(w)} w(B) \max \left\{ 1, 1 + \log \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{r} \right) \right\},$$

if $\eta = 1$ and $\beta = 0$.

Proof. Let $f \in BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$. If $r \geq \rho(x)$ the conclusion follows from condition (3). If $r < \rho(x)$, let $j_0 = \lfloor \log_2(\frac{\rho(x)}{r}) \rfloor + 1$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f| &\leq 2^d \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f(z) - f_{2^j B}| dz + \frac{1}{|2^{j_0} B|} \int_{2^{j_0} B} |f| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} w(2^j B) |2^j B|^{\frac{\beta}{d}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $r2^{j_0} \geq \rho(x)$. Using now that $w \in D_\eta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |f| &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)} w(B) |B|^{\beta/d} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{j(d\eta-d+\beta)} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)} w(B) |B|^{\beta/d} \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{r} \right)^{d\eta-d+\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

in the case $\eta > 1$ or $\beta > 0$. If $\eta = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j_0} 2^{j(d\eta-d+\beta)} = j_0 \leq 1 + \log_2 \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{r} \right),$$

and the proof is finished. \square

Theorem 2. *Let us assume that the potential $V \in RH_q$ with $q \geq d/2$ and set $\delta_0 = \min\{1, 2 - \frac{d}{q}\}$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta < \delta_0$ and, $w \in D_\eta$ with $1 \leq \eta < 1 + \frac{\delta_0 - \alpha - \beta}{d}$, then the operator \mathcal{I}_α is bounded from $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ into $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta+\alpha}(w)$.*

Proof. Since $\alpha > 0$, $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta+\alpha}(w) = \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta+\alpha}(w)$ with equivalent norms, due to Proposition 4. Hence we can prove boundedness from $BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$ into $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta+\alpha}(w)$. Let $f \in BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)$. We will see that for x and y in \mathbb{R}^d , we have

$$(18) \quad |\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}f(x) - \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}f(y)| \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)} [W_{\beta+\alpha}(x, |x-y|) + W_{\beta+\alpha}(y, |x-y|)]$$

provided $|x-y| < \rho(x)$, and

$$(19) \quad \int_{B(x, \rho(x))} |\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}f(u)| du \leq \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)} \rho(x)^{\beta+\alpha} w(B(x, \rho(x))).$$

The above inequalities (18) and (19) would imply that $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}f$ belongs to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta+\alpha}(w)$ (see Remark 2).

Suppose $\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)} = 1$ and let us start with (19). We split the inner integral, as usual, in local and global parts. If we call $B = B(x, \rho(x))$, then

$$\int_B |\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}f(u)| du \leq \int_B \left(\int_{2B} + \int_{(2B)^c} \right) K_{\alpha}(u, z) |f(z)| dz du.$$

By estimate (13), the first term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \int_{2B} \frac{|f(z)|}{|u-z|^{d-\alpha}} dz du &\leq \int_{2B} |f(z)| dz \int_B \frac{1}{|u-x|^{d-\alpha}} du \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^{\beta}(w)} \rho(x)^{\alpha+\beta} w(B). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, using Lemma 2 and the change of variables $s = \frac{|u-z|^2}{Ct}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B \int_0^{\infty} \int_{(2B)^c} k_t(u, z) |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} du \\ &\leq C \int_B \int_0^{\infty} \int_{(2B)^c} t^{-(d-\alpha+N)/2} e^{-\frac{|u-z|^2}{Ct}} \rho(u)^N |f(z)| dz \frac{dt}{t} du \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} s^{(d-\alpha+N)/2} e^{-s} \frac{ds}{s} \int_B \int_{(2B)^c} \rho(u)^N \frac{|f(z)|}{|u-z|^{d-\alpha+N}} dz du. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in B(x, \rho(x))$ then $\rho(u) \leq C\rho(x)$ (Proposition 1), and also $|u-z| > |x-z|/2$ for all $z \in B(x, 2\rho(x))^c$. Hence, the last expression is bounded by

$$(20) \quad C\rho(x)^{N+d} \int_{(2B)^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{d-\alpha+N}} dz.$$

If we call $B_j = 2^j B$, we may split the last integral into annuli, use that $f \in BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ and $w \in D_\eta$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{(2B)^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{d-\alpha+N}} dz &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{k+1} \setminus B_k} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{d-\alpha+N}} dz \\
&\leq \rho(x)^{-d+\alpha-N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(d-\alpha+N)} \int_{B_{k+1}} |f(z)| dz \\
&\leq C \rho(x)^{-d+\alpha+\beta-N} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(d-\alpha-\beta+N)} w(B_{k+1}) \\
&\leq C \rho(x)^{-d+\alpha+\beta-N} w(B) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(d-\alpha-\beta+N-d\eta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose N large enough, the last sum is finite, thus (20) is bounded by a constant times

$$\rho(x)^{\alpha+\beta} w(B(x, \rho(x))),$$

and we have shown that (19) is satisfied.

To see (18), let $|x-y| < \rho(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{I}_\alpha f(x) - \mathcal{I}_\alpha f(y)| &\leq \left| \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [k_t(x, z) - k_t(y, z)] f(z) dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\
(21) \quad &+ \left| \int_{\rho(x)^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [k_t(x, z) - k_t(y, z)] f(z) dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, if $t > \rho(x)^2$, since $|x-y| < \rho(x)$, we have $|x-y| < \sqrt{t}$, hence Lemma 3 allows us to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(22) \quad &\int_{\rho(x)^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k_t(x, z) - k_t(y, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} dt \\
&\leq C_\delta |x-y|^\delta \int_{\rho(x)^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{Ct}} |f(z)| dz t^{(-d+\alpha-\delta)/2} \frac{dt}{t},
\end{aligned}$$

for each $0 < \delta < \delta_0$. If $t > \rho(x)^2$, calling $B = B(x, \sqrt{t})$ we estimate the inner integral as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{Ct}} |f(z)| dz \leq C \int_B |f| + t^{M/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^M} dz,$$

for some $M > 1$ to be chosen. Since $f \in BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$ and $t > \rho(x)^2$, the first integral is bounded by $w(B)t^{\beta/2}$. To deal with the sum in k , we use again $f \in BMO_{\mathcal{L}}^\beta(w)$,

and then $w \in D_\eta$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^{M/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^M} dz &\leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kM} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f| \\ &\leq C t^{\beta/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(M-\beta)} w(2^{k+1}B) \\ &\leq C t^{\beta/2} w(B) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(M-\beta-d\eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the sum is finite for M large enough. Therefore, since $|x-y| < \rho(x) < \sqrt{t}$ and $-d + \alpha + \beta - \delta + d\eta < 0$ choosing δ close to δ_0 , (22) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |x-y|^\delta \int_{\rho(x)^2}^{\infty} w(B(x, \sqrt{t})) t^{(-d+\alpha+\beta-\delta)/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ \leq C |x-y|^{\delta-d\eta} w(B(x, |x-y|)) \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} t^{(-d+\alpha+\beta-\delta+d\eta)/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ \leq C w(B(x, |x-y|)) |x-y|^{-d+\alpha+\beta} \\ \leq C W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the second term of (21), we set

$$q_t(x, y) = k_t(x, y) - \tilde{k}_t(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$, where \tilde{k}_t is the classical heat kernel as before. Then we have,

$$\left| \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [k_t(x, z) - k_t(y, z)] f(z) dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \leq I + II,$$

where

$$I = \left| \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [q_t(x, z) - q_t(y, z)] f(z) dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right|$$

and

$$II = \left| \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\tilde{k}_t(x, z) - \tilde{k}_t(y, z)] f(z) dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right|.$$

To estimate I , calling $B = B(x, 4|x-y|)$, we split \mathbb{R}^d into two regions and write

$$I \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

with

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{B^c} |q_t(x, z) - q_t(y, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t},$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_B |q_t(x, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$I_3 = \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_B |q_t(y, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

If $z \in B^c$, we are in the hypothesis of Lemma 5 and therefore, given $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, there exists a rapidly decaying function ψ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C|x-y|^\delta \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{B^c} \frac{\psi_t(z-x)}{\rho(z)^\delta} |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^\delta \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{B^c} \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta k_0} \psi_t(z-x) |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used Proposition 1.

The inner integral is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B^c} \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta k_0} \psi_t(z-x) |f(z)| dz \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j-1}} \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta k_0} \psi_t(z-x) |f(z)| dz, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_j = B(x, 2^{j+3}|x-y|)$. Thus $I_1 \leq I_{11} + I_{12}$, where

$$I_{11} = C \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^\delta \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j-1}} \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta k_0} \psi_t(z-x) |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t},$$

with $j_0 = \lfloor \log_2 \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{|x-y|} \right) \rfloor$, and I_{12} the same but summing up from $j_0 + 1$. If $j \leq j_0$ and $z \in B_j \setminus B_{j-1}$, then $\left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta k_0} \leq C$, and since $\psi_t(z-x) \leq C t^{\epsilon/2} / |x-z|^{d+\epsilon}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$ fixed, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\leq C \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^\delta \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} t^{(\alpha+\epsilon)/2} \frac{dt}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j-1}} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{d+\epsilon}} dz \\ &\leq C \frac{|x-y|^{\delta-d-\epsilon}}{\rho(x)^{\delta-\alpha-\epsilon}} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d+\epsilon)} \int_{B_j} |f(z)| dz. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6 and the fact that $w \in D_\eta$, in the case $\eta > 1$ or $\beta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d+\epsilon)} \int_{B_j} |f(z)| dz &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d+\epsilon)} w(B_j) |B_j|^{\beta/d} \left(\frac{\rho(x)}{2^{j+3}|x-y|} \right)^{d\eta-d+\beta} \\ &\leq C \frac{\rho(x)^{d\eta-d+\beta}}{|x-y|^{d\eta-d}} w(B) \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{-j\epsilon} \\ &\leq C \frac{\rho(x)^{d\eta-d+\beta}}{|x-y|^{d\eta-d}} w(B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad I_{11} &\leq C \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta-\alpha-\beta-d\eta+d-\epsilon} \frac{w(B)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha-\beta}} \\ &\leq C \frac{w(B)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha-\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

since by hypothesis $1 \leq \eta < \frac{\delta_0-\alpha-\beta}{d} + 1$ and $|x-y| < \rho(x)$, and thus $\delta - \alpha - \beta - d\eta + d - \epsilon > 0$, choosing ϵ small enough and δ close to δ_0 .

As for the case $\beta = 0$ and $\eta = 1$, using Lemma 6 and the inequality

$$(24) \quad 1 + \log(t) \leq Ct^{\epsilon/2},$$

for $t > 1/8$, we arrive to the same estimate of I_{11} proceeding as before.

Next we estimate I_{12} . For $M > \delta k_0 + d\eta + \beta$, we have $\psi_t(z - x) \leq C \frac{t^{(M-d)/2}}{|z - x|^M}$. Also if $z \in B_j \setminus B_{j-1}$ for $j > j_0$, then $|x - z| > \rho(x)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &\leq C \left(\frac{|x - y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta + \delta k_0} \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} t^{(M-d+\alpha)/2} \frac{dt}{t} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{j\delta k_0} \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j-1}} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z - x|^M} dz \\ &\leq C \frac{|x - y|^{\delta + \delta k_0 - M}}{\rho(x)^{\delta + \delta k_0 - M + d - \alpha}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(M - \delta k_0)} \int_{B_j} |f(z)| dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $j > j_0$, the radius of B_j is $2^{j+3}|x - y| > \rho(x)$, then

$$\int_{B_j} |f(z)| dz \leq C w(B_j) |B_j|^{\beta/d} \leq C 2^{j(d\eta + \beta)} |x - y|^\beta w(B),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad I_{12} &\leq C \left(\frac{|x - y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{-M + \delta k_0 + \delta - \alpha + d} \frac{w(B)}{|x - y|^{d - \alpha - \beta}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(M - \delta k_0 - d\eta - \beta)} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|x - y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{d - d\eta + \delta - \alpha - \beta} \frac{w(B)}{|x - y|^{d - \alpha - \beta}} \\ &\leq C \frac{w(B)}{|x - y|^{d - \alpha - \beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

with an appropriate choice of δ .

To deal with I_2 , let $M > d$. From Lemma 4, being $t < \rho(x)^2$,

$$(26) \quad |q_t(x, z)| \leq C \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} \left(1 + \frac{|x - z|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{-M}.$$

Then we may write

$$I_2 = I_{21} + I_{22},$$

where

$$I_{21} = C \int_0^{|x-y|^2} \int_B |q_t(x, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$I_{22} = \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\rho(x)^2} \int_B |q_t(x, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

To take care of I_{21} let $B_t = B(x, \sqrt{t})$ and $N = \left\lceil \log_2 \left(\frac{4|x-y|}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right\rceil$. Using estimate (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |q_t(x, z)| |f(z)| dz &\leq \frac{t^{\frac{\delta_0-d}{2}}}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0}} \left(\int_{B_t} |f| + t^{M/2} \int_{B \setminus B_t} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^M} dz \right) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\frac{\delta_0-d}{2}}}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0}} \left(\int_{B_t} |f| + t^{M/2} \sum_{j=0}^N \int_{2^{j+1}B_t \setminus 2^j B_t} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^M} dz \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{t^{\frac{\delta_0-d}{2}}}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} 2^{-jM} \int_{2^j B_t} |f| \right), \end{aligned}$$

and since every ball in the last sum has its radius less than $8\rho(x)$, we can apply Lemma 6 and that $w \in D_\eta$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |q_t(x, z)| |f(z)| dz &\leq C \frac{t^{(\delta_0-d\eta)/2}}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0-d\eta+d-\beta}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^N 2^{-j(M-d+d\eta)} w(2^j B_t) \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{t^{(\delta_0-d\eta)/2}}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0-d\eta+d-\beta}} w(B_t) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(M-d)} \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{t^{(\delta_0-d\eta)/2}}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0-d\eta+d-\beta}} w(B_t), \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum is finite since $M > d$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} &\leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0-d\eta+d-\beta}} \int_0^{|x-y|^2} t^{(\delta_0+\alpha-d\eta)/2} w(B_t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0-d\eta+d-\beta}} \int_0^{|x-y|^2} t^{(\delta_0-\beta-d\eta+d)/2} W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, \sqrt{t}) \frac{dt}{t} \\ (27) \quad &\leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{\delta_0-d\eta+d-\beta}} \int_0^{|x-y|^2} t^{(\delta_0-\beta-d\eta+d)/2} \frac{dt}{t} W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x-y|) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\delta_0-\beta-d\eta+d} W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta_0 - \beta - d\eta + d > \alpha > 0$, and $|x-y| < \rho(x)$, we have $I_{21} \leq W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x-y|)$.

To deal with I_{22} we use again (26) and Lemma 6, to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} &= \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \int_B |q_t(x, z)| |f(z)| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C \rho(x)^{-\delta_0} \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} t^{(\alpha+\delta_0-d)/2} \frac{dt}{t} \int_B |f| \\ (28) \quad &\leq C \frac{w(B)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha-\beta}} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{d-d\eta+\delta_0-\beta} \\ &\leq C \frac{w(B)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha-\beta}} \leq C W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x-y|), \end{aligned}$$

since $d - d\eta + \delta_0 - \beta > \alpha > 0$, and $|x - y| < \rho(x)$.

The case $\beta = 0$ and $\eta = 1$ is performed using Lemma 6 and inequality (24) with $\epsilon < \delta_0$, following the same steps as in (27) and (28) respectively.

We can also obtain that

$$(29) \quad I_3 \leq C W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x - y|)$$

following the same lines as in I_2 but exchanging x by y and integrating over $B(y, 8|x - y|)$.

From (23), (25), (27), (28) and (29) we obtain

$$I \leq C W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x - y|).$$

To see $II \leq C W_{\alpha+\beta}(x, |x - y|)$ we refer to the reader to [5], p. 238. In fact, since \tilde{k}_t is a convolution kernel,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\tilde{k}_t(x, z) - \tilde{k}_t(y, z)] dz = 0.$$

So we have

$$II = \left| \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\tilde{k}_t(x, z) - \tilde{k}_t(y, z)] [f(z) - f_B] dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \right| \leq II_1 + II_2,$$

where

$$II_1 = \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_B |\tilde{k}_t(x, z) - \tilde{k}_t(y, z)| |f(z) - f_B| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$II_2 = \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_{B^c} |\tilde{k}_t(x, z) - \tilde{k}_t(y, z)| |f(z) - f_B| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

with $B = B(x, |x - y|)$.

Applying

$$|\tilde{k}_t(x, z) - \tilde{k}_t(y, z)| \leq C \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{Ct}}}{t^{d/2-1}} |x - y| |x - z|$$

and changing variables $s = \frac{t}{|x-y|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &\leq |x - y| \int_{B^c} |f(z) - f_B| |x - z| \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{Ct}}}{t^{d/2-1}} t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} dz \\ &\leq |x - y| \int_{B^c} \frac{|f(z) - f_B|}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha+1}} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in D_\eta$, from Lemma 4.7 in [5], the last expression is bounded by

$$|x - y| \int_{B^c} \frac{w(z)}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha-\beta+1}} dz \leq C w(B) |x - y|^{d-\alpha-\beta},$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 3.9 in [5].

To deal with II_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\rho(x)^2} \int_B |\tilde{k}_t(x, z)| |f(z) - f_B| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_B \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{Ct}}}{t^{d/2}} |f(z) - f_B| dz t^{\alpha/2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= C \int_B \frac{|f(z) - f_B|}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha}} dz \end{aligned}$$

and denoting $B_j = 2^{-j}B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B \frac{|f(z) - f_B|}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha}} dz &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j+1}} \frac{|f(z) - f_B|}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^j}{|x - y|} \right)^{d-\alpha} \int_{B_j} |f(z) - f_B| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^j}{|x - y|} \right)^{d-\alpha-\beta} w(B_j) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^j}{|x - y|} \right)^{d-\alpha-\beta} w(B_j \setminus B_{j+1}) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_{j+1}} \frac{w(z)}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha-\beta}} dz \\
&= C \int_B \frac{w(z)}{|x - z|^{d-\alpha-\beta}} dz,
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgement: We would like to express our gratitude to the referee for the thorough revision of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dziubański and G. Garrigós and T. Martínez and J. Torrea and J. Zienkiewicz, *BMO spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality*, Math. Z. **249** (2005), no. 2, 329–356.
- [2] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, *Hardy spaces H^1 associated to Schrödinger operators with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana **15** (1999), no. 2, 279–296.
- [3] ———, *H^p spaces for Schrödinger operators*, Fourier Analysis and Related Topics **56** (2002), 45–53.
- [4] ———, *H^p spaces associated with Schrödinger operator with potential from reverse Hölder classes*, Colloq. Math. **98** (2003), no. 1, 5–38.
- [5] E. and Salinas Harboure O. and Viviani, *Boundedness of the fractional integral on weighted Lebesgue and Lipschitz spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 1, 235–255.
- [6] K. Kurata, *An estimate on the heat kernel of magnetic Schrödinger operators and uniformly elliptic operators with non-negative potentials*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), no. 3, 885–903.
- [7] Z. W. Shen, *L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **45** (1995), no. 2, 513–546.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL LITORAL, AND INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA DEL LITORAL, SANTA FE, ARGENTINA.
E-mail address: `bbongio`, `harbour`, `salinas@santafe-conicet.gov.ar`